

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP-23131-2023

Date of Decision : October 12, 2023

Saroj Kumari**.. Petitioner****Versus****Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another .. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the order dated 06.03.2023 (Annexure P-9) by which the candidature of the petitioner has been cancelled on the ground that the petitioner did not withdrew 5 marks under the Socio-Economic Criteria on account of no family member in Government job even though she was not entitled for the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the ground taken for cancelling the candidature of the petitioner is incorrect as the petitioner, who is the widow, was entitled for 5 marks under the provisions of the advertisement itself and the petitioner never claimed marks on account that no one from her family was in Government job.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the Socio-Economic Criteria mentioned in the advertisement, the candidate was

entitled for maximum of 10 marks i.e. 5 marks under the category where none of the family member of the candidate was in Government job and 5 marks for the candidate in case she is widow or orphan as the case may be. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was in any case entitled for 5 marks being a widow.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner submits any fresh application raising the grounds as raised in the present petition for the consideration of the Commission, appropriate fresh order will be passed without being influenced from the impugned order and the said fresh order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation.

8. Ordered accordingly.

October 12, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No