

CWP-22591-2023

1

2023:PHHC:130029

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-22591-2023

Date of decision : 07.10.2023

Sanjay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Mr.Vivek Sheoran, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana  
for the respondents.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to join the petitioner as a Constable in the Haryana Police Force and consequently for allotting him the mandatory belt number on the basis of final merit list dated 16.06.2022 of the selected candidates issued by respondent no.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-7) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the competent authority of the respondents considers the said representation in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of the respondents would consider the representation dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-7) and decide the same within a period of 2 months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondents to consider the representation dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 2 months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within the period of 2 months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondents would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

October 07, 2023  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |