

2023:PHHC:131330

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.113

CRWP-10010-2023

Date of decision:10.10.2023

Balwinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.6 to 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it was alleged that son of petitioners No.1 and 2 and brother of petitioner No.3, namely, Hasanpreet Gharu had enticed away the daughter of respondents No.6 and 7. He further submits that the petitioners have no concern with the above-said Hasanpreet Gharu and moreover, petitioner No.1 had already disowned him from his movable and immovable properties. However, respondents No.4 and 5 are harassing and threatening the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.6 to 8, whereas the petitioners are not aware of the whereabouts of Hasanpreet Gharu and daughter of respondents No.6 and 7.

3. On an advance notice, Mr. Sanish Girdhar, AAG, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from ASI Didar Singh, has submitted that only petitioner No.1 was questioned by the local police to know the whereabouts of Hasanpreet Gharu and the daughter of respondents No.6 and 7. He further submits that at present the petitioners are not required in connection with the investigation of any criminal case. He next submits that in case the involvement of the petitioners is found in any criminal case, they shall be issued an advance notice of 07 days in writing in that regard. He has also assured the Court that in case the petitioners are required for the purposes of investigation also, a proper notice in writing shall be issued to them in this regard.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, no further directions are required to be issued and the present petition is disposed of, accordingly.

10.10.2023
mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO