

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52495-2022
DECIDED ON: 02.02.2023**

JAGTAR SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.183 dated 22.10.2019, under Sections 307, 336, 323, 506, 148, 149, 188 of IPC, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Dhakha, District Ludhiana (Rural).

In pursuance of the previous order dated 15.11.2022, wherein the petitioner was directed to join the investigation within a period of 15 days with the following conditions.

- 1. He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.*

In pursuance thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he has deposited the demand draft to the tune of Rs.25,000/- in favour of the victim/injured which is in the custody of the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instruction from SI Subjinder Singh states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and also deposited the demand draft as per the directions of this Court vide order dated 15.11.2022, but the complainant has refused to take the same and has pressed with the statement that he wants to contest the case without any such compensation for seeking justice.

Be that as it may, no body put in appearance on behalf of the complainant in the Court, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner has joined the investigation and duly complied with the directions by depositing 25,000/- way of demand draft in favour of the complainant who shall be at liberty to collect the same at any stage, if so desired by him.

Subsequently, there is no reason for this Court to keep the instant petition pending.

Looking into the totality of facts, the petition is allowed and the order dated 15.11.2022 is made absolute. The petitioner shall be bound to join the investigation as and when so called by the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer during the course of investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

02.02.2023

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>