

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRWP-10674-2022 (O&M)
Decided on : 13.01.2023**

Sonu Kumar

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1 to 3.

None for respondents No. 4 and 5.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Gursimran Singh Dhillon, PCS, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Ludhiana (East), dated 12.01.2023 has been filed by learned State counsel on behalf of respondent No. 2 and same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Relevant paragraph 4 of the said status report says as under:

“4. That on 16.11.2022, the above said Vigilance Committee visited the premises of M/s SBK Marka, Village Katani Kalan, Tehsil Sahnewal and District Ludhiana. However, none of the detenues as mentioned in the petition were present at the spot. The statements of the persons present at the spot were recorded and from the statements of those above said persons and from the raid conducted at the it was found that the petitioner Sonu Kumar and his other 18 family members/associates were

not present at the spot. The above said committee have submitted their Inspection report dated 16.11.2022 to this effect, which is attached with this reply as Annexure R-1.

It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that in the light of the reply submitted, the present writ petition may kindly be dismissed in the interest of justice.”

In view of the stand taken in the said reply, it appears that there is no substance in the writ petition, hence, present petition stands **dismissed**.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

13.01.2023
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*