

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50370-2023
DECIDED ON: 03.11.2023

RAMA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present: Mr. Ajay Poonia, Advocate for
Mr. Parvinder Moar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas S. Chawla, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J (ORAL)

Status report dated 02.11.2023 has been filed by way of affidavit of Amit Bhatia, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narwana, District Jind on behalf of respondent No.1-State, which is taken on record.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0066	22.02.2021	323, 324, 34 and 506 IPC and Section 325 (added late on)	P.S. Sadar Narwana, District Jind

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 26.09.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Narwana vide report dated 17.10.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Narwana and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.0066 dated 22.02.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 34 and 506 IPC

and Section 325 (added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

03.11.2023
Jatin

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>