

S. No.211

2023:PHHC:133405

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51041 of 2023

Date of Decision:13.10.2023

Yogesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is praying for regular bail in case FIR No.114 dated 23.05.2023 under Sections 379-B (2)/34 of IPC (later on added Section 411 of IPC), registered at Police Station Haibowal, Commissionerate Ludhiana, Punjab.

As per allegations, on 22.05.2023, complainant Harsimran Singh son of Harjit Singh was going on his Activa at about 7.30 p.m. when a Dezire car bearing No. PB10-FV-8586 occupied by 4-5 persons came behind him. He was made/forced to stop his activa. Those persons encircled him and on the point of some sharp edged weapon snatched Rs.3200/-and his mobile phone make OPPO. He found the snatchers at his own level to be Gursharan Singh, Yogesh Kumar (petitioner herein), Dinesh Raj @ Kaku, Manjot Singh @ Jodha and Sandeep Singh @ Bhau, who are habitual of committing snatching. It was alleged that earlier also cases regarding snatching are registered against them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. He is not involved in any other case and that despite the occurrence to have been taken place on 22.05.2023, the FIR has been registered on 23.05.2023. Still further it is contended that complainant did not

disclose source of his information as to how he came to know the names of snatchers.

It is further stated by learned counsel that similarly placed co-accused Manjot Singh @ Jodha, has already been allowed bail by this Court vide order dated 09.10.2023 passed in CRM-M-40681 of 2023.

Notice of motion.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the fact that case of the petitioner is on parity with co-accused Manjot Singh @ Jodha, who has already been allowed bail by this Court.

Learned State Counsel states that petitioner is in custody since 03.06.2023.

Having regard to the aforesaid factual position and also on the basis of parity, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned.

Allowed.

October 13, 2023
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No