

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**2023:PHHC:136456
CWP No.22274 of 2023
Date of Decision:19.10.2023**

M/s Jai Shiv Rice Mills and others

....Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that all the writ petitioners, except petitioners No. 3, 6 and 7, have furnished undertaking alongwith cheques, thus, they have been allotted paddy. The petitioners No. 3 and 6 furnished undertaking but did not furnish cheques and petitioner No. 7 has neither furnished undertaking nor cheques, thus, paddy has not been allotted to them.

2. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, petition qua the petitioners except petitioners No. 3, 6 and 7, stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petition qua petitioners No. 3, 6 and 7 may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh with better particulars.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioners No. 3, 6 and 7 with liberty as aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.10.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No