

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

**CWP-22286-2023
Date of Decision: 05.10.2023**

JARNAIL SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Chirag Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been instituted under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the due payment of Rs.41,20,655/- qua the works executed by the petitioner, alongwith interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had executed various works assigned to him from time to time and there has never been any complaint against the works executed by the petitioner. During the year 2021, certain development works were allotted to the petitioner. The details thereof has been mentioned in Para 2 of the writ petition. The petitioner has successfully completed the aforesaid work within stipulated time period. It is further submitted that there is no dispute with regard to execution of the works and as well as the amount claimed but the

payment of the aforesaid works has not been released to the petitioner. The petitioner approached the respondents for a number of times, but nothing fruitful could be fetched. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted numerous representations but of no avail. Lastly, the petitioner served a Legal Notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) upon respondent No.3-the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Batala, District Gurdaspur but the respondents neither responded to the same nor did they make the payment of outstanding amount to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Saurav Verma, who is the Additional Advocate General Punjab and would thus be on the panel of all statutory Board and Corporations of the State of Punjab has been requested to accept notice on behalf of the respondent No.3-Municipal Corporation, Batala.

On the asking of the Court, he accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3- Municipal Corporation, Batala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that at this juncture, he would be satisfied if respondent No.3-the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Batala is directed to consider and decide the Legal Notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondents does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, in view of the above, with the consent of the parties and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of while directing respondent No.3- the

Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Batala to consider and decide the Legal Notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) by passing a reasoned and speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to mention that upon considering the said legal notice, if any amount is found due and payable to the petitioner, the same shall be disbursed in his favour within a further period of three months.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

OCTOBER 05, 2023
Vishal sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No