

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-6639-C-2018 in/&
RSA-2515-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision :18.04.2023

Raghubir Singh

..Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate for the appellant.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-6639-C-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

RSA-2515-2018

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that though, on merits the claim of the appellant was allowed by the trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 31.03.2016 but the said judgment and decree of the trial Court has been reversed by the lower Appellate Court vide judgment and decree dated 05.12.2017 on the ground that there is a delay in raising the claim before the Civil Court as order of compulsory retirement was passed on 14.09.2001 and the civil suit was filed on 02.01.2015.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that as large number of similarly situated employees have been granted the retiral/service benefits by the respondent-department, the present regular second appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty

to the appellant to file an appropriate representation before the respondent-department raising the plea that similarly situated employees have already been granted the said benefit.

Ordered accordingly.

April 18, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No