

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23216-2023

Date of decision: 29.11.2023

Promila Mehra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional A.G., Haryana,
Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant A.G., Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

ARUN PALLI, J.(Oral)

On November 14, 2023, this Court had passed the following
order:-

***“Concededly, the order dated October 13, 2023, has
not been complied with, as the necessary affidavit has not
been furnished.***

***Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate
General, appearing for the State of Haryana, submits that
post order dated October 13, 2023 (ibid), passed by this
Court, attachment proceedings have since been completed.
For, the property has since been sealed and the necessary
entries in this regard have been incorporated in the rapat
rojnamcha. And, now the property has been put to auction,
though the date of auction is yet to be fixed. He prays for a
short accommodation to submit an affidavit in this regard,
as also indicating the date of auction.***

Adjourned to 29.11.2023.”

The necessary affidavit on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, in terms of the order referred to above, has been filed today in the Court and the same is taken on record. Copy furnished.

Learned State counsel has drawn our attention to the averments set out in paragraph No.7 of the affidavit, which reads as thus:-

“That Ld. HREERA had issued as many as 25 recovery certificates amounting to Rs. 25,32,25,719/- (including the RC No.3335 dated 21.04.2023) against the respondent No.6 in respect to the similarly situated buyers/applicants of flats and the auction proceedings qua the land of the respondent No.6 attached herein above has been started and the date of auction has been fixed as 30.11.2023 by following due procedure.”

Accordingly, he submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of, as infructuous.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

29.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No