

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**206-CRM-M-52256-2022**

**Date of Decision: 30.01.2023**

\*\*\*\*

Ranjit Singh @ Jeet Singh

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab & Anr.

... Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab

Mr. Karan Jund, Advocate for respondent No.2

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.71 dated 06.07.2022 under Section 420/120-B/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Badli Ala Singh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Vide order dated 18.11.2022, learned counsel for the petitioner admitted the receipt of Rs.44,00,000/- and made a statement that the petitioner is willing and ready to refund the payment, along with interest.

Today, the co-accused Gurpreet Singh (in CRM-M-38621-2022) has handed over a demand draft for an amount of Rs.15 lakh, in the name of the complainant, i.e., Simranjeet - respondent No.2. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

Learned counsel for the parties are very fairly *ad idem* that the parties are ready and willing to abide by the previous order for settling the dispute, for all times to come, between them.

In this view of the matter and also taking into consideration the fact that the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The present petition is, therefore, allowed.

30.01.2023

V.Vishal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

2. Whether reportable?

**(Sandeep Moudgil)**  
**Judge**

Yes/No

Yes/No