

CRM-M-51070-2023
DECIDED ON: 02.11.2023

....PETITIONER

....RESPONDENTS

Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
211	19.11.2022	279, 337, 338, 427 IPC	PS Ranjit Avenue, District Amritsar

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The

matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar vide report dated 31.10.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.211 dated 19.11.2022, under Sections 279, 337, 338, 427 of IPC registered at Police Station PS Ranjit Avenue, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.11.2023
Jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No