

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.52059 of 2022
Date of Decision: 31.01.2023**

Krishan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the above-named petitioner has made the **3rd** attempt to seek the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.347 dated 10.09.2020 registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak, under Sections 452 and 307 IPC, with the allegations that he (petitioner) went to the house of complainant-informant-injured-Bijender and set him ablaze after pouring petrol on him.

2. It is worth-while to mention here that the first bail petition of the petitioner bearing **CRM-M No.4671 of 2022** was dismissed as withdrawn vide order Annexure P-3 on 09.02.2022 and vide the order Annexure P-4 dated 21.10.2022, his second bail petition bearing **CRM-M No.47523 of 2022**, had been dismissed for having been withdrawn, while granting him the liberty to file a fresh one with better and correct particulars.

3. Reply on behalf of the respondent-State (by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak), along-with FSL report Annexure R-1, has already been filed.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in this petition and have also perused the file carefully.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is behind the bars since 11.09.2020 and the examination-in-chief of the complainant has already been recorded by the trial Court and moreover, the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case of the similar nature and therefore, he deserves the relief as prayed for in the present petition.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position but he opposes the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of the relief of regular bail.

7. However, keeping in view the above-discussed undisputed facts and circumstances as well as the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Krishan is hereby ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

January 31, 2023
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No