

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102+368

CRM-M-50061-2023
Date of Decision: 13.12.2023

Tamanna Rani
...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Sukesh Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

FIR No.	Dated	Section/s	Police Station
210	30.07.2023	370, 406, 420 & 506 IPC and Sections 10 and 24 of The Immigration Act, 1983	City Pehowa, District Kurukshetra

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in the above-captioned case.
2. Upon notice and after hearing learned counsel for the State, petitioner was directed to join investigation and was granted interim anticipatory bail, vide order dated 04.10.2023.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karambir Singh, Police Station City Pehowa, District Kurukshetra, submits that in pursuance to the aforesaid order passed by this Court, petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned State counsel further submits that the petitioner has averred in para 20 of the petition that she has not filed any other bail application previously in the above captioned FIR before this Court or before the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India nor any bail application of the petitioner is pending before the Court of Session but the said statement is contrary to record. However, in view of order passed in the connected petition i.e. CRM-M-50342-2023, punitive costs have rightly been imposed upon the petitioners therein.
5. In view of the above facts and the statement made by learned State counsel, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the interim anticipatory bail granted is made absolute, subject to the conditions specified in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

December 13, 2023
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No