

258-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-2119-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.04.2023

Dharampal ... Appellant(s)

Versus

Santosh & Ors. ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Naveen Dudy, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Yadav, Advocate for the appellant.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

On 02.03.2020 the present appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution. Thereafter, vide order dated 12.03.2021, the appeal was restored to its original number. On 04.07.2022 the following order was passed :

“Vide order dated 02.03.2020 the present appeals were dismissed for non-prosecution. Thereafter, vide order dated 12.03.2021, both the appeals were restored to their original numbers and learned counsel for the appellant had sought time to place on record relevant documents as produced before the Courts below. Till date no such application has been filed.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 16.11.2022.

It is made clear that in case the present appeals are not argued on the next date of hearing, the same shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Registry to inform the counsel for the appellant of the date fixed.

A copy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.”

On 16.11.2022 the case was adjourned to 30.11.2022 and on 30.11.2022 the case was adjourned by order to today. Today yet again a request for an adjournment has been made on behalf of arguing counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is in personal difficulty. No ground is made out to further adjourn the case in view of the order dated 04.07.2022.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

11.04.2023
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO