

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(230)

CWP No. 5383 of 2020

Date of Decision : 10.08.2023

Bhupinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners does not intend to pursue this petition any further, at this stage but intend to approach the respondents by filing representation by giving the details as to on which dates, they had discharged the duties, which were gazetted holidays so as to claim benefit of the salary for working on holidays and the Committee, which has been constituted by the respondents for this purpose, be directed to decide their claim expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any detail is furnished by the petitioners qua their claim for the benefit of working on particular gazetted holiday, the same will be examined so as to decide a fact whether the petitioners had availed rest in lieu of

working on a holiday or are entitled for the salary for the discharge of the duties on gazetted holidays and appropriate order will be passed within a period of two months of the receipt of any such representation after going through the record being maintained by the department.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation to be considered by the Committee.

Ordered accordingly.

August 10, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No