2023:PHHC:128858

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

122

CRWP-9812-2023
Date of decision: 05.10.2023

Monika and another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate for the petitioners.

****

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 18 years and 3 months and petitioner No.2, aged about 23 years, got married on 27.09.2023, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 28.09.2023 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Hisar for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Hisar to look into their representation (Annexure P-4) and take

VINAY
2023.10.05 15:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment

appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Hisar to look into the representation dated 28.09.2023 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

05.10.2023 (**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**)
Vinay **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

VINAY
2023.10.05 15:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment