

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51934-2022

Date of Decision: January 12, 2023

VISHAL

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Mayank Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.202 dated 19.08.2021 under Section 120-B, 148, 149, 302, 506, 449 IPC registered at Police Station Pillu Khera, Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and he has been confined behind the bars for the last more than one year. He submits that similarly situated co-accused persons have also been granted bail, who are at par with the attributed role such as the petitioner who was only standing downstairs inside the house. Neither any injury has been attributed to the petitioner nor any recovery whatsoever has been effected from him.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of State and Mr. Deepak Gilhotra, Advocate, put in appearance and files memo of appearance on behalf of the complainant. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate which is taken on record, according to which the petitioner has already faced 01 year 02 months and 29 days of custody.

Considering the aforesaid fact, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, subject to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned, as the trial is likely to take sufficient time and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

Disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

12.01.2023

Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No