

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26568-2016
Date of decision: 12.01.2023

PUNEET VERMA

...Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Respondent No.1 deleted vide order dated 02.05.2018.

Mr. Bhushan Bhatia, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking to challenge certain amendments that had been made to the Sarva Haryana Gramin Bank (Officers and Employees) Service Regulations, 2010.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.2 and 3 would submit that the matter stands rendered infructuous and now the question as raised by the petitioner is just academic. In fact, the petitioner has challenged the regulations on account of charge-sheet that had been filed against him. The said proceedings under the charge-sheet stand dropped vide order dated 31.03.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that the charge-sheet against the petitioner stands dropped and the petitioner has been exonerated.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that the challenge to the regulations is academic at the present moment and the question is left open to be decided at any later stage.

Consequently, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

12.01.2023
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No