

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

CRWP-10682-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 03.05.2023

Chestta Godara

..... Petitioner

Versus

U.T.of Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Anjali Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl.P.P.for U.T.Chandigarh.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that the petitioner has solemnised marriage and she is staying at her matrimonial home.

Learned counsel for U.T.Chandigarh on instructions from ASI Ram Chander submits that at present, there is no threat perception, however, State as duty bound would look into the matter if at any stage representation is made by the petitioner.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, no further order is warranted.

Disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

03.05.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>