

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-22101-2023

Date of decision : 03.10.2023

Shivam

... Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and another

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Mr.Ujwal Anand, Advocate for  
Mr.Nikhil Anand, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sumit Jain, Advocate and  
Mr.Manjinder Sharma, Advocate  
for respondents no.1 and 2.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.2 to allow the petitioner to join Class XI in GMSSS Sector 23, Chandigarh in Automobile Technology, A/C & Refrigerator stream in academic session 2023-34.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, the present petition is treated as his representation to the competent authority of the respondents and the same is considered in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted

that the competent authority of the respondents would consider the representation and decide the same, in accordance with law, within a period of 2 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondents to treat the present petition as a representation by the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 2 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order alongwith a copy of the writ petition, which would be supplied by the petitioner and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within the aforesaid period from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and the copy of the writ petition.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondents would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

October 03, 2023  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |