

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

234

**CWP-30434-2019 (O&M).  
Date of Decision: 21.03.2023.**

**VALUERS ASSOCIATION AND OTHERS**

.. Petitioners

Versus

**UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:     Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate, and  
                  Ms. Sunaina, Advocate,  
                  for the petitioners.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, ASGI with  
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Sr. Panel Counsel,  
for the respondents/Union of India.

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present writ petition a challenge had been laid on the Order No.12/9/2019-P1 dated 30.08.2019, issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs, on the ground that the aforesaid order had been issued in compliance to Rule 19 of the Companies (Registered Valuers and Valuations) Rules 2017 and the composition of the aforesaid Committee is not in accordance with the prescribed requirements.

Sh. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the respondents has handed over a copy of Folio No.1/27/2013-CL-V dated 23.04.2018 issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs and he contends that the impugned Order (Annexure P-6) appended with the present petition is not a Committee constituted under Rule 19 of

the Companies (Registered Valuers and Valuations) Rules 2017 and instead the Committee under Rule 19 of the aforesaid Rules of 2017 had been constituted by the aforesaid Folio dated 23.4.2018 which is not a subject matter of challenge in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner thus seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file an appropriate petition, if so advised on the fresh cause of action.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberties as aforesaid.

March 21, 2023  
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No