

CWP No. 25714 of 2022 and other connected matters

1

2023:PHHC:104729

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(104) CWP No. 25714 of 2022
Date of Decision : 11.08.2023**

Amit and others
...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

(103) CWP No. 25195 of 2022

Yashvir
...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

(103-A) CWP No. 1726 of 2023

Yogesh Kumar and others
...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

(107) CWP No. 903 of 2023

Ishwar Kumar and others
...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CWP No. 25714 of 2022 and other connected matters

2

(107/2)

CWP No. 1945 of 2023

Ram Niwas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(107/3)

CWP No. 2040 of 2023

Hansa Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(107/4)

CWP No. 2079 of 2023

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(107/5)

CWP No. 1946 of 2023

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 25714 of 2022 and other connected matters

3

(107/6)

CWP No. 2545 of 2023

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(107/7)

CWP No. 2551 of 2023

Somveer

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(107/8)

CWP No. 434 of 2023

Mahipal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(107/9)

CWP No. 2088 of 2023

Ravi Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 25714 of 2022 and other connected matters

4

(107/10) CWP No. 4475 of 2023
Anil Kumar and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(107/11) CWP No. 3641 of 2023
Anand Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(107/12) CWP No. 3650 of 2023
Jitender ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-25714-2022.

Mr. Vijaydeep Singh Rathee, Advocate for
Mr. Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate for the petitioners
CWP-25195-2022.

Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-1726-2023.

Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-903-2023.

CWP No. 25714 of 2022 and other connected matters

5

Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CWP-1945-2023, CWP-2040-2023, CWP-2079-2023,
CWP-1946-2023, CWP-2545-2023, CWP-2551-2023,
CWP-2088-2023, CWP-3641-2023 and CWP-3650-2023.

Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate and
Mr. Manoj Singla, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-434-2023.

Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-4475-2023.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. G.P. Vashisht, Advocate for
Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No. 4 in CWP-903-2023 and
for respondent No. 3 in CWP-1945-2023.

Mr. Harneet Singh Oberoi, Advocate
for respondent No. 3 in CWP-903-2023.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, a bunch of writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as all the petitions involve the same question of law.

2. In the present petitions, the challenge is to the selection process initiated for appointment to the post of Multipurpose Health Worker (Male) in pursuance to the Advertisement No. 1/2015 dated 19.06.2015.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the said selection has already attained finality and even the candidates have already been offered appointment and except the post advertised in

CWP No. 25714 of 2022 and other connected matters

6

EBPG Category and the candidates have already been selected and appointed on the advertised post and are already working. Learned counsel further submits that it could be a possibility that in Reserved Category, keeping in view the order passed by this Court, one post or the other might have been kept reserved but as, there are large number of petitioners, who are claiming eligibility under the Advertisement, in case their petitions are accepted, the candidates, who are already working, will lose their jobs and will be affected by the outcome of the writ petitions, are not party to the present petitions.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners concede the factum that in case, their prayer is allowed, the candidates, who have already been selected and appointed, will be affected.

5. That being so, in the absence of any candidate, who is likely to be affected by the outcome of the present petitions be impleaded, the present petitions cannot be adjudicated on merits.

6. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file the fresh one on the same cause of action by impleading all the concerned.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 11, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No