

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(211)

CWP-31338-2019**Date of decision: - 06.09.2023****Sulekha and another****....Petitioners****Versus****Rajender Singh and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Bala Rohilla, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 12.09.2019 (Anenxure P-1) passed by the District Magistrate, Gurugram.
2. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1 today in the Court and the same is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has pointed out that in the present case, since no interim order has been passed in favour of the petitioners, respondent No.1 has further sold the property and has given possession to the purchaser and thus, nothing survives in the present writ petition.
4. Today, nobody has appeared on behalf of the petitioners to rebut the said averments.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

September 06, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No