

CRWP-9757-2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(127)

CRWP-9757-2023

Date of Decision:-03.10.2023

Kanta and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of private respondents.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after filing of the present petition, the petitioners have got married also and have approached this Court for protection of their life and liberty.
3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of State/respondents No.1 to 3.
5. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.
6. In light of the above, the petition is disposed of with a

CRWP-9757-2023

direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) and take necessary action, in accordance with law.

7. This petition is being considered only for the purpose of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and the filing, pendency or the orders passed by this Court in this petition shall neither be construed as any stamp of the Court *qua* the relationship/marriage of the petitioners nor shall be relied upon by any authority/Court in any proceedings initiated against them under any other provision of law.

8. This order shall not operate as an *alibi* or defence to any proceedings which might be pending or may arise against the petitioners. Although, the State is duty bound to protect its citizens and only with the said motive, the present order/directions have been passed.

9. It is made clear to the petitioners that, in case, any averment made in this petition or in the representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) is found to be incorrect, the State Authorities are directed to take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

October 03, 2023
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No