

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRWP-9659-2023

Date of Decision: 29.09.2023

Deepika Solanki and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Ms. Preeti Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

[1] This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who claim themselves to be major. Petitioners have married against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 13. Petitioner No.1 has submitted representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-5), seeking protection of their life and liberty, to the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani-respondent No.2, but to no avail.

[2] Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage.

[3] Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and waives service.

[4] Heard learned counsel for the parties.

[5] Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or commenting thereon and in particular the legality of alleged marriage, the

present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani-respondent No.2, to consider the aforesaid representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) and in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners from the side of private respondents, to act in accordance with law and if need be, to provide them interim protection.

[6] It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage between the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

September 29, 2023
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No