

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

105

CWP-28037 -2023 (O&M)

Decided on :14.12.2023

Sheela

. .Petitioner

Versus

State Of Haryana And Others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is intend to approach the higher authorities against the impugned order dated 04.07.2023 (Annexure P-11) so as to convince them that the petitioner being the mother of the unmarried deceased employee, she is entitled for the pension in the facts and circumstances of the present case as 2016 Rules will not be applicable keeping in view the fact that death of the employee concerned was in the year 2007.

2. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

14.12.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No