

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25582-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.01.2023

Dilbagh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. ADS Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G. Punjab

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus and thereby, ordering respondent Nos.3 and 4 to take action against respondent Nos.5 to 7 under Section 6 read with Section 20 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

On 11.11.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“The case of the petitioner is that respondents No.5 to 7 deserve to be proceeded against under Section 20 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 for having remained willfully absent for more than two consecutive Panchayat meetings, however, the record as it exists does not support the submission and thus, learned counsel for the petitioner prays

for time to place on record additional material.

Adjourned sine die.

To be listed as and when an appropriate application in this regard is filed.

Sd/-(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

11.11.2022”

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after giving full and better particulars and after annexing all the relevant documents.

In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

09.01.2023

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No