

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9697-2023

Date of decision: 3rd October, 2023

Anil and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents.

2. It would not be out of place to mention here that the petitioners had sent the complaint through a registered post on 24th September, 2023, *inspite of* the fact that State has provided online portals and e-mail in order to ensure expeditious dealing with such complaints. This petition was drafted on 26th September, 2023 even without waiting for the representation to reach the concerned quarter.

3. In view of the above, no case is made out for interference by this Court, the petition is dismissed.

4. However, at this stage, learned State counsel on instructions from HC Subhash submits that police authorities tried to contact the petitioners but their mobile phones were switched off and they have not

approached the police authorities for seeking protection. The statement of private respondents have been recorded stating that they have no objection for the marriage of the petitioners.

5. There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities for seeking protection, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

6. It is clarified that this Court has not commented upon the validity of marriage and age of the petitioners.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

3rd October, 2023

Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |