

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.49425 of 2023
Date of Decision: 30.10.2023

Sudhir Kumar @ Sudhir Chaudhary
...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

None for the complainant.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel has submitted the Status-Report on behalf of the respondent-State (by way of the affidavit of the Additional Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar), along-with Annexures R-1 & R-2 and the vernacular version of Annexure R-1, in the Court today and these documents are taken on the record.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the same has become infructuous as the petitioner has already been arrested in the criminal case under reference.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

30.10.2023
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No