

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49168-2023
Date of decision: 28.11.2023**

RINKU**.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Pulkit Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR 182 dated 25.05.2022 under Sections 302, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 201 & 120-B IPC added later on), registered at police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind.

2. Learned State counsel at the outset has opposed the prayer made by the counsel opposite for extending the concession of bail to the petitioner. He submits that firstly the petitioner cannot seek parity with the co-accused, who have been granted bail, as the co-accused have clean antecedents and secondly it has been brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner has not approached this Court with clean hands. He submits that totally false averments have been made in paragraph 17 of the petition that the petitioner has clean antecedents and there is no criminal case pending against him.

3. Learned State counsel has placed on record the custody

certificate of the petitioner, wherein it stands reflected that the petitioner is involved in multiple criminal cases. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material placed on record.

5. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, no ground is made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner as he has not approached the Court with clean hands.

6. Dismissed.

7. Nothing contained herein above, shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

28.11.2023
manoj

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No