

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

2023:PHHC:127383

CWP No.21789 of 2023

Date of Decision:03.10.2023

Baldev Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Amrita Singh, Central Govt. Counsel
for the Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to issue him passport.

2. The petitioner vide application dated 24.02.2021 applied for passport. An FIR No. 108 dated 20.02.2010, under Section 171-C of IPC came to be registered against the petitioner at Police Station Sadar Patiala. The respondent on account of pendency of aforesaid FIR has not issued passport to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and others 2023 SCC Online P&H 1391.**

4. Learned counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that the petitioner may be directed to appear before the passport authority alongwith requisite documents. Thereafter, the passport authority would pass an appropriate order after considering the afore-stated judgment within six weeks.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

6. The petitioner is directed to appear before the passport authority alongwith requisite documents on 20.10.2023 at 10.00 a.m. On doing so, the passport authority would pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law, within six weeks.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.10.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No