

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49222-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2023**

Suraj Kumar Pal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.32 dated 03.09.2023, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, '*the IPC*'), registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Ballabgarh, District Faridabad.

2. Allegations are that the petitioner along with other co-accused duped the petitioner of Rs.90,500/-.

3. Affidavit of petitioner along with Annexures P-3 & P-4, filed in the Court, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the other side.

Registry will do the needful.

4. Contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as he is not named in the FIR; rather, nominated on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused-Om Prakash. Also contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation; but, he be protected.

5. Although, there is no formal notice of motion in this case, but Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, after obtaining instructions from the Investigating Officer, SI Vikas, who is present in court, submits that petitioner has prepared false Aadhar Cards of number of persons, including that of co-accused Vinod Kumar & Manmohan.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. Concededly, petitioner is running a Common Services Centre (CSC) at Chauhan Patti, Tomar Market, North East, Delhi. Apart from the allegations of duping the complainant of Rs.90,500/-, petitioner is also alleged to have been involved in preparation of fake Aadhar Cards in connivance with other co-accused.

8. Since preparation of fake Aadhar Cards is a matter of grave concern and may entail serious consequences for the security of country. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate that custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be the only way out to know the truth as well as *modus operandi* adopted in the case.

9. In view of the above, there is no option except to dismiss the petition.

10. Ordered accordingly.

11. It is clarified that observations made above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the controversy, in any manner.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

08.11.2023
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No