

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

268

CWP-25735-2022
Date of decision: 13.03.2023

MANIKSHA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab
for respondents No. 1 to 6.

Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldip Singh Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition had been filed by the petitioner for seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 6 to provide Police Security to the petitioner who apprehends threat at the hands of respondent No.7.

Pursuant to the notice issued, a short reply by way of affidavit of Satinderpal Singh, PPS, Assistant Inspector General of Police, Security, Punjab, Chandigarh had been filed. The relevant extract to the aforesaid short reply reads thus:

“5. That in compliance with this Hon'ble High Court orders, a fresh assessment of threat perception in respect of petitioner has been carried out by the office of Additional Director General of Police, Security, Punjab, as per the guidelines prescribed in the State Security Policy. In

accordance thereof, afresh threat perception reports have been obtained from the concerned quarters.

6. That an objective assessment and appraisal of latest threat perception reports received from the concerned quarters i.e. the State intelligence Wing and Sr. Supdt. of Police, SAS Nagar. The State Intelligence, Punjab has mentioned that the petitioner is a kinner and residing with 3/4 associates (kinner) at the above mentioned address. As per the field unit report and office record, at present there is no specific threat input indicating any threat to her security from any terrorist/militant/gangster outfits operating in the country. The Sr. Supdt of Police, SAS Nagar has stated that the petitioner is related with Jyoti Mahant house No. 18 Xiens Homes, Mundi Kharar PS City Kharar. The petitioner has a dispute with Pooja Mahant r/o House No. 248, Janta Chowk, Uchi Gali, Kharar over congratulations on the occasion of marriages. Both groups claim their right to the area of Kharar Sub-Division, due to which these both groups have had a fight over the matter of greetings on marriage function or happy occassion. In this regard 03 FIRS are registered in Police Station City Kharar against the petitioner and her group. Apart from this several cases have been got registered by these two parties against each other at PS Sadar Kharar, PS Balongi and PS Mullanpur in the area of Sub- Division, Kharar-2. The petitioner has not received any threatening phone call or e-mails from any gangster/terrorist/anti-social elements. In view of the above mentioned facts, there is no evidence that the petitioner is receiving threats. It is not recommended to give any security personnel to the petitioner.

7. That in view of the above facts and circumstances, it has been decided by this office that the provision of personal security cover to the petitioner at State expense is not warranted, well within the framework of the State Security Policy. However, directions have been issued to the Sr. Supdt.

of Police, SAS Nagar to adequately sensitize the local police to take suitable, need based preventive measures and provide area security so as to avoid any untoward incident.”

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the short reply filed by the respondents and the stand adopted therein to the effect that Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar had been issued directions to adequately sensitized the local police to take suitable, need based preventive measures and to provide security to avoid any untoward incident, he does not press the instant petition at this stage.

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MARCH 13, 2023
Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No