

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22271-2023

Date of decision: 08.11.2023

Upinder Kaur Ahluwalia

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Samar Pratap Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein prays for a Mandamus to command the respondents to exchange her land under the Exchange of Land Policy dated 08.03.2019 (P-6), which has since been utilized by Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) for development of Sector 21, Panchkula, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that land, measuring 10 kanals, situated in village Fatehpur, Tehsil and District Panchkula, owned by the petitioner, without any acquisition, was included by the respondents in the lay out plan of underdeveloped areas of Sector 21 (total 14.25 acre). He submits that sites for nursing home(s) and the residential plots have since been carved out on the said land. Which have further been auctioned. It is urged that petitioner, vide application dated 11.08.2023 (P-5), had requested the Chief Administrator (HSVP) for consideration of her claim under the Policy (*ibid*), but to no avail. So much so, the representation dated 19.09.2023 (P-9), served upon the authorities, remains unaddressed. Thus, the petitioner continues to suffer, owing to an apparent inaction and insensitivity of the respondent authorities.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. He submits that since the competent authority is in seisin of the matter, let this petition be disposed of to enable it to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner. And, pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. He further submits that before such orders are passed, the petitioner would be afforded an opportunity of hearing.

To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

08.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No