

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRWP-10483-2022 (O&M)
Decided on : 27.01.2023**

Simrajeet Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. AS Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Pinki Mehla, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 6.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Order dated 21.11.2022 passed by this Court says as under:

“By way of filing this petition, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they have not solemnized their marriage so far and are living in a live-in relationship and are under eminent threat at the hands of private respondents.

It has been averred in the petition that petitioner No.1 is earlier married with respondent No.4, and having two children out of the said wedlock, whereas, petitioner No.2 is also married and his children are in the custody of his wife.

*Before proceeding further, first of all this Court would like to see whether interests of the said children of the petitioners are looked after or not ?
Notice of motion for 02.12.2022.*

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State). He seeks time to file status report in the matter.

Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.”

On 12.12.2022 following order was passed by this Court:-

“Ms. Pinki Mehta, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 and files her vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. She seeks some time to file reply.

Adjourned to 18.01.2023.

Reply, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing with copy in advance to the opposite counsel.”

Thereafter, on 18.01.2023 following order was passed by this Court:

“Counsel appearing for respondents No.4 to 6 submits that she got instructions from respondent No.4, who is husband of petitioner No.1, that he is still ready to keep petitioner No.1 in the family, and to look after two minor children.

“Counsel for the petitioners submits that he needs some time to seek instructions in this regard.

Adjourned to 27.01.2023.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

27.01.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No