

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.49584 of 2023
Date of Decision: 03.10.2023**

Prabjot Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Baljinder Singh Singhvi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to take legal action on the representation (Annexure P-1) as moved by her on 21.09.2023 and to decide the same in accordance with law and also to protect her (petitioner's) life and liberty, while averring that she apprehends threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12.

2. Mr. Virat Rana, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from ASI Parminder Singh from Police Station Women, Amritsar City, he informs the Court that the representation Annexure P-1 has already been marked to the Assistant Deputy Commissioner of Police (Crime against Women), Amritsar, for conducting the inquiry.

3. In view of the above-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to partly

withdraw the instant petition to the extent of the prayer of the petitioner for issuing directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to take legal action on her afore-referred representation/complaint and to decide the same and as regards the relief qua the issuance of direction for the protection of the life and liberty of the petitioner, he submits that she (petitioner) will move a fresh representation to respondent No.2, exclusively praying therein for such protection only and he further prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and take appropriate action thereon within some specific time frame.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-mentioned submission and also the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands partly dismissed for having been withdrawn, in terms of the afore-discussed submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and so far as the remaining relief sought by her (petitioner) is concerned, without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the present petition, the same is, hereby, partly disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him, restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if she (petitioner) would be found to be genuinely deserving such protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of two weeks thereafter.

03.10.2023

seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>