

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9686-2023**

**Date of decision : 11.10.2023**

**RESHMA AND ANOTHER**

....Petitioners

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. As per the petitioners, after filing of the present petition, they performed *Nikah* on 08.10.2023. This fact has also been admitted by their Counsel Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate.

3. The petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1-Reshma is 28.02.1998 born and petitioner No.2-Mohammad Rsasul is 01.01.1989 born.

4. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage (*Nikah*), I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Sr. Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur) to look into the representation dated

24.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioners.

5. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 8 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

6. The petition stands disposed off.

**October 11, 2023**  
**Dpr**

**(Pankaj Jain)**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No