

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

140

CWP-22123-2023

Date of Decision: 03.10.2023

Niranjan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Chief Manager and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Abhivadya Sood, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate for Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to consider and decide representation/legal notice dated 16.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner.
2. The petitioner is an ex-service man. He retired from Indian Army on 31.05.2008. The petitioner is getting pension. As per the petitioner, respondent has not calculated pension of the petitioner after implementation of 6th and 7th Pay Commission.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner would be redressed at this stage, if respondents are directed to decide his representation/legal notice dated 16.08.2023 (Annexure P-1).
4. Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate who on advance notice is present in Court, asserts that competent authority would decide representation/legal notice dated 16.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within 3 weeks from today.
5. In the wake of statement of counsel for the respondents, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.10.2023

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No