

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(277)**CRM-M-49174-2023 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 04.12.2023**

Babbu Ram and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Kadian, Advocate, for the respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition, as cast under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., proffers the hereinafter extracted relief, as craved by the petitioners, inasmuch as, quashing of the FIR No.0137 dated 05.09.2023, under Sections 323, 458, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at P.S. Barnala, District Barnala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (Annexure P-2), as entered into inter se the petitioners and respondent No.2.

2. Mr. Nitin Kadian, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 through validly executed Vakalatnama in his favour and admit the factum of compromise. In the present case, only two accused were named and only one injured/complainant.

3. Upon an affirmative response from the learned counsel for respondent No.2 qua the compromise (Annexure P-2), a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had, through an order drawn on 28.09.2023 upon the instant petition, besides issuing notice, directed the parties to appear before the trial

recorded qua authenticity of the compromise (Annexure P-2). Moreover, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate concerned was also directed to send a report in the above regard.

4. Consequent to the making of the directions (supra), the parties appeared before the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala and got their respective statements recorded, thereby authenticating the compromise (Annexure P-2). Accordingly, in compliance of the directions (supra) of this Court, a Report bearing No.638 dated 24.11.2023 has been received from the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala, wherein, a satisfaction has been recorded by the Magistrate concerned qua the compromise (supra) being drawn in a genuine and voluntary and without any pressure or coercion and out of free will of the parties. The relevant extract is reproduced as under:

“It is further submitted that on 17.10.2023 ASI Buta Singh, Investigating Officer has appeared in the court and made statement that no PO proceedings are pending nor any of accused were declared PO. No other case has been registered or pending against the accused namely Babbu Ram son of Bhura Ram @ Bhura Singh resident of Cheema and Navdeep Singh son of Labh Singh resident of Jodhpur District Barnala.

From the above statements, it appears that the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily, without any pressure or coercion and the same is genuine and none of the parties has been declared proclaimed offender.”

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

6. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in **CRM-M-25669-2020 (O&M)**, titled **“Abhishek Singh & others V/s State of Punjab & others”**,

Pronounced on: 07.04.2022, while granting a similar relief, as craved in the

“9. The following aspects would be relevant to conclude this petition:-

- a) The accused and the private respondents have amicably settled the matter between them in terms of the compromise deed and the statements recorded before the concerned Court;*
- b) A perusal of the documents reveal that the settlement has not been secured through coercion, threats, social boycotts, bribes, or other dubious means;*
- c) The victim has willingly consented to the nullification of criminal proceedings;*
- d) There is no objection from the private respondents in case present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed;*
- e) In the given facts, the occurrence does not affect public peace or tranquillity, moral turpitude or harm the social and moral fabric of the society or involve matters concerning public policy;*
- f) The rejection of compromise may also lead to ill will. The pendency of trial affects career and happiness;*
- g) There is nothing on the record to prima facie consider the accused as an unscrupulous, incorrigible, or professional offender;*
- h) The purpose of criminal jurisprudence is reformatory in nature and to work to bring peace to family, community, and society;*
- i) The exercise of the inherent power for quashing the conviction, sentence and all previous proceedings is justified to secure the ends of justice.”*

7. In the light of the hereinabove recorded aspects and considering the fact that the offences, for which the petitioner/accused has been charged, are not of grave in nature, as also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR (supra) is hereby allowed.

8. Resultantly, FIR No.0137 dated 05.09.2023, under Sections 323, 458, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at P.S. Barnala, District Barnala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No