

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

**CWP-25311 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2023**

Gurpreet and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioners pray for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, certiorari and or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents to revise the petitioners' result and declare them passed by giving grace marks. In essence, the dispute is with regard to the correctness of the revised answer key uploaded after deciding the objections by an expert body.

In *Vikas Kumar Gupta vs. State of Rajasthan, (2021)2 SCC 309* and *Ran Vijay Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2018) 2 SCC 357*, the Supreme Court has laid down that after the expert body has examined the objections, the scope of judicial review in absence of any patent error, does not exist.

In view of the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 12, 2023

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No