

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49366-2023
Date of decision: 03.10.2023

JAGDISH KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of direction to the official respondents i.e. respondents No.3 and 4 not to harass and humiliate the petitioners by raiding their houses and by calling them to their office in odd hours without complying with the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C. and further for issuance of direction to respondents No.3 and 4 to issue seven days prior notice to the petitioners in case of registration of any FIR against them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that one Balvir Singh is an accused in FIR No.75 dated 25.07.2023, under Sections 409, 406, 420, 465, 468 and 471 of the IPC and aforesaid Balvir Singh is the husband of petitioner No.1 and father of petitioner No.2. He further submitted that the police is repeatedly harassing the petitioners and not following the due process

of law as they want to know the whereabouts of aforesaid Balvir Singh and for that purpose, the petitioners are being called to the Police Station and also being harassed by the police in this regard.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab has submitted that he has received an advance copy of the present petition and has also sought instructions from the concerned Investigating Officer. He submitted on instructions from SI Bikramjit Singh that the petitioners have not been called to the Police Station. He further submitted that the police will not harass the petitioners and in case any information is required from the petitioners, then due process of law will be followed by issuing an appropriate written notice to them and strictly in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the statement made by learned State counsel, nothing survives in the present petition and therefore he does not wish to press the same.

5. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

03.10.2023
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No