

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
(103-1)

CRM-M-53575-2023
Date of decision:- 18.12.2023

Kamil ...Petitioner

Versus
State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate \ for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhim Singh, Advocate for the complainant.
...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section(s)
68	18.03.2003	Sadar District Karnal.	148, 149, 307, 323 and 324, IPC.

2. As the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender, instant petition seeking grant of anticipatory bail is not maintainable, in view of the legal position as settled by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Manish Jain Versus Haryana State Pollution Control Board, 2020 (20) SCC 123* and in *Lavesh Verma Versus State (NCT of Delhi) (2012) 8 SCC 730*.

3. Petition is dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

18.12.2023
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No