

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25309-2022

Date of decision : 17.01.2023

Sunder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Jitender K. Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to register/sanction the mutation of land in question as per the sanad taksim and possession proceeding dated 26.04.2022 and Rapat No.298 dated 26.04.2022 (Annexures P-3 and P-4).

On 07.12.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“It is submitted that post partition transfer of possession has taken place, but mutation is not being entered despite repeated representations.

Learned State counsel is directed to get instructions.

Adjourned to 17.01.2023.

Sd/-(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

07.12.2022”

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from Anil Kumar, Tehsildar, Hansi, has submitted that the mutation in question has been entered and thus, the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the stand taken by learned State Counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present Civil Writ Petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

17.01.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No