

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-W-1484-2023 in
CRWP-9595-2023**

Date of decision :10.10.2023

HEERA LAL AND ANR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-1484-2023

This is an application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for
preponing the date of hearing in the case i.e. fixed for 19.10.2023

Notice in the application.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf
of the respondent-State.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed and the date of hearing is preponed from 19.10.2023 to today and
the matter is taken up on board today itself.

CRWP-9595-2023

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the
first marriage between the petitioners.

The petitioners have prayed for the issuance of necessary
directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal
rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. The
petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 22.09.2023

(Annexure P-3) on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and a threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of the aforesaid relief, the petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Hoshiarpur-respondent No.2 by way of a representation dated 22.09.2023 (Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion as to the validity of the marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

10.10.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No