

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-21634-2023

Date of Decision: 09.10.2023

M/s Naib Singh Rice Mills

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider manual application of the petitioner seeking registration of rice mill for KMS-2023-24.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that Custom Milling of Paddy for KMS-2022-24 was published on 31.07.2023 whereas as per policy an applicant was required to apply for provisional registration by 22.07.2023. The petitioner had no mechanism to know prior to publication of policy of requirement to apply by 22.07.2023. The petitioner came to know about necessity of registration after publication of policy, thus, it applied manually on 16.09.2023. There is no provision to apply manually and entire process is computerized i.e. online.

3. Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, learned State counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of the respondents, submits that policy admittedly was published on 31.07.2023, however, all the millers, in terms of precedent, applied prior to publication of policy. The petitioner, in any case requested manually vide application dated 16.09.2023 i.e. much later than publication of policy.

4. Without commenting upon merits of the case, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider application of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law and policy within two weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.10.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>