

parties. The learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura vide report dated 21.11.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.35 dated 26.02.2021, under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

30.11.2023

Jatin

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No