

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106+211

CRM-52239-2023 in/and
CRM-M-48766-2023
Date of Decision.:15.12.2023

Bhisham Singh
Vs.
State of Haryana

.....Petitioner
.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Garima Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-52239-2023:

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to place on record Annexure P-6 to Annexure P-8, which are stated to be orders passed by this Court for granting bail to the co-accused.

2. Allowed.
3. Annexure P-6 to Annexure P-8 are taken on record.

CRM-M-48766-2023:

4. The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.86 dated 12.03.2023 registered under Sections 397, 201 of the IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.
5. FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Hoshiar Singh, as per which on the night of 11.03.2023, three unknown persons entered in his poultry farm and on the point of a pistol took away his cocks/roosters.

named in the FIR and that he has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. Learned counsel further contends that co-accused Sameer Hasan, Aadil, Hussain, Ajay Kumar @ Ajju, Ranbir Singh and Rizwan have already been allowed bail by this Court by way of different orders which are Annexure P-3 to Annexure P-8 on record.

7. Status report dated 12.12.2023 by way of an affidavit of Shri Anil Kumar HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barara filed on behalf of respondent- State received through registry.

8. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid contention. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition by pointing out that petitioner is involved in four other cases as per the status report.

9. Heard.

10. No doubt, that petitioner is involved in other cases also but having regard to the nature of allegations in the present petition and also on the basis of parity as many as six co-accused have already been allowed bail, no purpose would be served by detaining the accused behind the bars.

11. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

December 15, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No