

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.211)

**CRM-M No. 52449 of 2022
Date of decision : 24.05.2023**

Gagandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 149 dated 25.12.2021 registered under Sections 307, 325, 323, 170 and 34 IPC at Police Station Chabbewal, District Hoshiarpur.

(2) On 15.11.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.149, dated 25.12.2021, Police Station Chabbewal, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 307, 325, 323, 170 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, wherein the allegations against him are to the effect that he struck his car against the complainant-Inderjit Singh several times.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the FIR came to be lodged under some misunderstanding, which has now been resolved and the matter has been amicably compromised.

Notice of motion for 11.4.2023.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is directed to implead the complainant-Inderjit Singh as a party. The amended memo of parties be filed in the Registry within a period of one week from today.

Upon filing of such amended memo of parties notice be issued to the complainant-Inderjit Singh as well for the date fixed i.e. 11.4.2023.”

(3) Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

(4) After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as have been recorded in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, subject to the petitioner abiding by the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., the order of this Court dated 15.11.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

24.05.2023

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No